

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 30155 of 1995

Between:

M/s. Sunder Steels Limited,
21-2-9, Pathergatti, Hyderabad - 500002.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The A.P. State Electricity Board,

rep. by its Secretary,
Vidyuthsoudha, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.
2. The Superintendent Engineer,

Operation Circle, A.P.S.E.Board,
Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents in reviewing the adequacy of consumption deposit pursuant to the tariffs revision on the basis of the consumption recorded between April, 1994 and March, 1995 as illegal irrational, arbitrary and contrary to Condition No. 28.2.1(b) of the Terms and Conditions of supply and consequently direct the Respondents to review the consumption deposit on the basis of preceding 12 months consumption pattern prior to the review.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.A.P.RAO (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondents: S.C. FOR A.P.S.E.B.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Though the matter is listed under the caption “for Judgment” and in spite of matter being passed over to 2:15 pm., neither the petitioner nor his counsel are present nor is there any representation made on their behalf.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 4th October, 2004.

LSK/KSR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

Two C.D. copies.